

MITIGATION ACTION SCORING MATRIX

Step One:

In the following tables, enter your name and position and select one of the following status options for each mitigation action in your jurisdiction:

- Completed (Use this if the action was completed)
- Deleted (Use this if you would like to remove the action from your new plan)
- Ongoing (Use this if you would like the action to carry through to your next plan)

Step two:

By marking the actions as Ongoing the action will be added to the new plan. In order to rank them effectively we ask that you score each of the following:

- 1. **Cost Effective** Rank 1 5 the cost effectiveness of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most cost effective and 1 being the least cost effective.
- 2. **Technically Feasible** Rank 1 5 the feasibility of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most feasible and 1 being the least feasible.
- 3. **Environmentally Sound** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action in terms of how environmentally sound it seems, with 5 being the most sound and 1 being the least sound.
- 4. **Immediate Need** Rank 1 5 whether each proposed mitigation action is needed immediately, with 5 being the most immediate need and 1 being not an immediate need.
- 5. **Risk Reduction** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action on the extent to which it will reduce the total risk of the associated hazard, with 5 being the greatest contribution to risk reduction and 1 being the least contribution to risk reduction.

If you have any additional comments for a mitigation action, please leave them below each action.



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Dam/Levee Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
levees to protect at- risk structures.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Adams St/Mitman Park Drainage Construction.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
Adams St/Mitman Park Drainage Flooding		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	3 9 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5	
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Adams St/Mitman Park Drainage Design.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Beaver control measures.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Chapel Drive at Sycamore Drainage Materials.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Circle Drive storm drainage improvements. Floor	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Status	Ranking					
	Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
	Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
Dongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
	Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
□Completed						5
□Deleted						5
						5
□Ungoing						5
	□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	□Completed Technically Feasible □Deleted Environmentally Sound □Ongoing Immediate Need Risk Reduction Cost Effective □Completed Technically Feasible □Deleted Environmentally Sound □Mediate Need Risk Reduction Cost Effective □Completed Technically Feasible □Deleted Environmentally Sound □Deleted Technically Feasible □Deleted Environmentally Sound	□Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 Risk Reduction 1 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 Risk Reduction 1 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 □Congoing Immediate Need 1	□Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 Risk Reduction 1 2 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 □Dongoing Immediate Need 1 2 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 2 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 2 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 2 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 □Congoing Immediate Need 1 2	□Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 Risk Reduction 1 2 3 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 □Doleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 3	□Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 4 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 4 Risk Reduction 1 2 3 4 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 4 □Congoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 4 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 4 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 4



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Enclose Redbank Ditch between Kauffman and Maple Avenue.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Establish a Flood Diversion program for roads		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
in Greene County using the Hyper Reach mass notification system.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Fairfield Park drainage improvements.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Fairfield Park pervious pavement of parking lots.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		0 0	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Hebble Creek reprofiling.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Hebble Creek Culvert Replacement, Central Ave.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Hebble Creek Culvert Replacement, Elm and Dayton Drive.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Hebble Creek engineering study.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Hidden Hills detection basin modifications.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Risk	Status	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	- 3 - 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	Longoning	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	□Completed						5
Flooding	□Deleted						5
-							5
	⊔Ongoing						5
	Flooding	□Completed □Deleted □Ongoing □Completed □Deleted □Deleted □Deleted □Deleted □Completed □Completed	Cost Effective Technically Feasible Environmentally Sound Immediate Need Risk Reduction Cost Effective Technically Feasible Environmentally Sound Risk Reduction Cost Effective Technically Feasible Environmentally Sound Immediate Need Risk Reduction Cost Effective Technically Feasible Environmentally Sound Immediate Need Risk Reduction Cost Effective Technically Feasible Environmentally Sound	Cost Effective 1	Cost Effective	Cost Effective	Cost Effective



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

k	Status	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
oding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	- 9- 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
oding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	Dongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	□Completed						5
oding	□Deleted						5
							5
	⊔Ongoing						5
00	ding	□ Deleted □ Ongoing □ Completed □ Deleted □ Deleted □ Compoing □ Completed	□Completed Technically Feasible Environmentally Sound □Ongoing Immediate Need Risk Reduction Cost Effective □Completed Technically Feasible Environmentally Sound □Ongoing Immediate Need Risk Reduction □Completed Technically Feasible □Completed Environmentally Sound	□Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 Risk Reduction 1 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 Risk Reduction 1 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 □Congoing Immediate Need 1 □Congoing Immediate Need 1 □Congoing Immediate Need 1 □Congoing Immediate Need 1 □Completed Immediate Need 1 □Congoing Immediate Need 1 □Completed Immediate Need 1	□Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 Risk Reduction 1 2 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 2 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 Risk Reduction 1 2 Cost Effective 1 2 Risk Reduction 1 2 Cost Effective 1 2 Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2	□Completed	□Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 4 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 4 Risk Reduction 1 2 3 4 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 4 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 4 □Ongoing Risk Reduction 1 2 3 4 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 4 □Completed Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 4 □Congoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 4 □Congoing



Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Mark Lane ditch renovation.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Pleasant View Drainage, Phase I Construction - Redbank Parallel Trunk Sewer.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Pleasant View Drainage, Phase II Construction - Dellwood Drive Sewer Flooding	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Pleasant View Drainage, Phase II Design – Dellwood Drive Sewer.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		2 9 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Pleasant View Drainage, Phase III Construction – Florence Avenue Sewer.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Pleasant View Drainage, Phase III Design – Florence Avenue Sewer.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
Horefice Avertue Jewei.			Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name: Title and Org	anization:
---------------------	------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Pleasant View Drainage, Phase IV Design & Construction – Pat Lane & NE Sewer.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		0 0	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Redbank Ditch retaining wall replacement.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Redstone Drive storm sewer design & construction.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



	Title and Organization:
--	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Seek funding for the acquisition, elevation, or		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
retrofit of structures with repetitive loss flood insurance claims through voluntary (owner)	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
mitigation actions.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 9 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Stormwater master plan. Flooding			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5	
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5	
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Upper Orville Street Storm Improvements Design & Construction.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
Wrightview Park plat storm sewer.	Flooding		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Develop and complete a periodic post- educational campaign surveys to gather citizens' perceptions of the risks associated with natural disasters and the tools and services available to the public to reduce risk (Method to measure the effectiveness of educational campaigns).	Multiple Hazards		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Longonig	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Upgrade windows to high impact windows on schools.	Terrorism		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Longonia	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
	1 6

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
Seek \$2.1 million in funding to install a county-wide tornado warning system complete with battery backup in communities with inadequate coverage, or no tornado siren systems.	Tornado		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5