

MITIGATION ACTION SCORING MATRIX

Step One:

In the following tables, enter your name and position and select one of the following status options for each mitigation action in your jurisdiction:

- Completed (Use this if the action was completed)
- **Deleted** (Use this if you would like to remove the action from your new plan)
- Ongoing (Use this if you would like the action to carry through to your next plan)

Step two:

By marking the actions as Ongoing the action will be added to the new plan. In order to rank them effectively we ask that you score each of the following:

- 1. **Cost Effective** Rank 1 5 the cost effectiveness of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most cost effective and 1 being the least cost effective.
- 2. **Technically Feasible** Rank 1 5 the feasibility of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most feasible and 1 being the least feasible.
- 3. **Environmentally Sound** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action in terms of how environmentally sound it seems, with 5 being the most sound and 1 being the least sound.
- 4. **Immediate Need** Rank 1 5 whether each proposed mitigation action is needed immediately, with 5 being the most immediate need and 1 being not an immediate need.
- 5. **Risk Reduction** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action on the extent to which it will reduce the total risk of the associated hazard, with 5 being the greatest contribution to risk reduction and 1 being the least contribution to risk reduction.

If you have any additional comments for a mitigation action, please leave them below each action.



Name:	Title and Organization:
Name:	Title and Organization:

City of Xenia

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
Seek funding for, prioritize and remove and/or relocate at-risk structures or construction of improved or new storm			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
	Dam/Levee Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
drainage systems or levees to protect at- risk structures.		□Ongoing Immediate Need 1	1	2	3	4	5	
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Fatablish a Flood Diversion program for		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Establish a Flood Diversion program for roads in Greene County using the Hyper Reach mass notification system.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Identify at-risk structures in Special Flood Hazard Area.			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Flooding	□Deleted Environ	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	I		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
Name:	Title and Organization:

City of Xenia

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
Rehabilitate/reconstruct E. Third Street Retaining Wall to avoid further erosion and prevent structure(s) from vulnerability to flooding.			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Seek funding for the acquisition, elevation, or retrofit of structures with repetitive loss flood insurance claims through voluntary (owner) mitigation actions.			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Sycamore Street property acquisitions (3 structures and 5 parcels of land that are prone to flooding).			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:

City of Xenia

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
Develop and complete a periodic post- educational campaign surveys to gather citizens' perceptions of the risks			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
associated with natural disasters and the tools and services available to the	Multiple Hazards	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
public to reduce risk (Method to measure the effectiveness of		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
educational campaigns).			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
	Terrorism		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Upgrade windows to high impact windows on schools.		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Seek \$2.1 million in funding to install a county-wide tornado warning system complete with battery backup in communities with inadequate coverage, or no tornado siren systems.			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Tornado	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5