

#### MITIGATION ACTION SCORING MATRIX

#### Step One:

In the following tables, enter your name and position and select one of the following status options for each mitigation action in your jurisdiction:

- Completed (Use this if the action was completed)
- **Deleted** (Use this if you would like to remove the action from your new plan)
- Ongoing (Use this if you would like the action to carry through to your next plan)

#### Step two:

By marking the actions as Ongoing the action will be added to the new plan. In order to rank them effectively we ask that you score each of the following:

- 1. **Cost Effective** Rank 1 5 the cost effectiveness of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most cost effective and 1 being the least cost effective.
- 2. **Technically Feasible** Rank 1 5 the feasibility of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most feasible and 1 being the least feasible.
- 3. **Environmentally Sound** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action in terms of how environmentally sound it seems, with 5 being the most sound and 1 being the least sound.
- 4. **Immediate Need** Rank 1 5 whether each proposed mitigation action is needed immediately, with 5 being the most immediate need and 1 being not an immediate need.
- 5. **Risk Reduction** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action on the extent to which it will reduce the total risk of the associated hazard, with 5 being the greatest contribution to risk reduction and 1 being the least contribution to risk reduction.

If you have any additional comments for a mitigation action, please leave them below each action.



Name:	Title and Organization:

# Village of Cedarville

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Push in the old water retention reservoir		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
that is no longer in use. It is a breeding area for rodents and mosquitoes and a	Dam/Levee Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
drowning risk for area youth.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Wide at the early at force OD 70 to West		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Widen the culvert from SR 72 to West Xenia Ave. It is insufficient, needs to be	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
36 inches.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Village administrative building that can double as shelter from tornadoes for community members and a command center for disasters and other emergencies that occur in the Village or the eastern half of Greene County.		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Tornado	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:

# Village of Jamestown

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Cool finalized for your store during a		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Seek funding for new storm drainage systems or levees to protect at-risk	Dam/Levee Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
structures.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Seek funding for, prioritize and remove		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
and/or relocate at-risk structures or construction of improved or new storm	Dam/Levee Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
drainage systems or levees to protect at- risk structures.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Establish a Flood Diversion program for roads in Greene County using the Hyper Reach mass notification system.		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:

# Village of Jamestown

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Identify at-risk structures in Special Flood Hazard Area.	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Develop and complete a periodic post-			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
educational campaign surveys to gather citizens' perceptions of the risks		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
associated with natural disasters and the tools and services available to the	Multiple Hazards	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
public to reduce risk (Method to measure the effectiveness of		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
educational campaigns).			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Upgrade windows to high impact windows on schools.		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Terrorism	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:

# Village of Jamestown

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
In the event a county-wide warning		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
siren system cannot be achieved, the following jurisdictions have requested	Tornado	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
funding to replace existing equipment or install new equipment: Jamestown.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Seek \$2.1 million in funding to install a county-wide tornado warning system complete with battery backup in communities with inadequate coverage, or no tornado siren systems.			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Tornado	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5