

MITIGATION ACTION SCORING MATRIX

Step One:

In the following tables, enter your name and position and select one of the following status options for each mitigation action in your jurisdiction:

- Completed (Use this if the action was completed)
- **Deleted** (Use this if you would like to remove the action from your new plan)
- Ongoing (Use this if you would like the action to carry through to your next plan)

Step two:

By marking the actions as Ongoing the action will be added to the new plan. In order to rank them effectively we ask that you score each of the following:

- 1. **Cost Effective** Rank 1 5 the cost effectiveness of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most cost effective and 1 being the least cost effective.
- 2. **Technically Feasible** Rank 1 5 the feasibility of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most feasible and 1 being the least feasible.
- 3. **Environmentally Sound** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action in terms of how environmentally sound it seems, with 5 being the most sound and 1 being the least sound.
- 4. **Immediate Need** Rank 1 5 whether each proposed mitigation action is needed immediately, with 5 being the most immediate need and 1 being not an immediate need.
- 5. **Risk Reduction** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action on the extent to which it will reduce the total risk of the associated hazard, with 5 being the greatest contribution to risk reduction and 1 being the least contribution to risk reduction.

If you have any additional comments for a mitigation action, please leave them below each action.



Name:	Title and Organization:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Create a public information and education		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
program highlighting the responsibilities residents have towards water conservation	Drought	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
and resource use.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Coordinate with Subject Matter Experts	Drought	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
(SMEs) on best practices to minimize drought impacts and to develop benchmark criteria for		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
implementing drought-related actions.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Dogwlady (to be defined by each invisition)		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Regularly (to be defined by each jurisdiction) check for leaks in the water supply system	Drought	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
and provide documentation.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Establish a grazing policy or parmitting		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Establish a grazing policy or permitting program to prevent overgrazing on public	Drought	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
property.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Complete a public health plan to identify risk		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
factors in the County, including epidemics, pandemics, drug abuse, and other public	Epidemic/Pan demic	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
health issues.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		2 9 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Inform residents that they can leave faucets dripping to prevent freezing pipes.	Extreme Temperatures	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
2	·	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		9. 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Update the Clinton County Emergency		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Operations Plan (EOP) and aid in creating Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for	Multiple Hazards	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
each department or agency.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		. 9. 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
	Multiple Hazards		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Coordinate between Clinton County's and the		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
municipal building and zoning offices to encourage the adoption of updated building		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
codes.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		. 9. 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
O and in the with healthing and a seferman and	0	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
responsibilities to create an improved wind Si	Severe Summer	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
resistance requirement for buildings.	Weather	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Install lightning protection devices and	Severe	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
methods, such as lightning rods and grounding, on communications infrastructure	Summer Weather	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
and other critical facilities.	Weather	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
	Severe Summer Weather		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Establish standards for all utilities regarding tree pruning around electrical lines.		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Increase the number of safe rooms in the County by installing safe rooms in residential		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
and public buildings and working with local	Severe Wind & Tornadoes	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
additional safe rooms.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Create a map of publicly available safe rooms in the County and distribute to the public.	Severe Wind & Tornadoes	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Create a public information and education		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
campaign for information dissemination about snow emergency alerts, snow levels, and corn	Severe Winter Weather	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
stick windbreaks.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Agroterrorism: Require annual reporting on internal quality control and emergency		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
response practices for commercial farms	Terrorism	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
plants, and other commercial agriculture uses.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Longoing .	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Ensure that all critical facilities and public		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
buildings have backup generators in case of power failure.	Utility Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
power failure.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
	Utility Failure		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Internet Service: Simplify permitting		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Internet Service: Simplify permitting processes for internet and cell service providers.		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		3 3	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



MITIGATION ACTION SCORING MATRIX

Instructions

To complete this matrix, please write in each mitigation action applicable to your jurisdiction. Please score it from 1-5 for each category described below. For each category, a rating of 1 is the lowest score, a rating of 3 is neutral/unsure, and a rating of 5 is the highest score.

- 1. **Cost Effective Rank 1 5** the cost effectiveness of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most cost effective and 1 being the least cost effective.
- 2. **Technically Feasible Rank 1 5** the feasibility of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most feasible and 1 being the least feasible.
- 3. **Environmentally Sound Rank 1 5** the proposed mitigation action in terms of how environmentally sound it seems, with 5 being the most sound and 1 being the least sound.
- 4. **Immediate Need Rank 1 5** whether each proposed mitigation action is needed immediately, with 5 being the most immediate need and 1 being not an immediate need.
- 5. **Risk Reduction Rank 1 5** the proposed mitigation action on the extent to which it will reduce the total risk of the associated hazard, with 5 being the greatest contribution to risk reduction and 1 being the least contribution to risk reduction.

Every jurisdiction (County, City, and Village) must have one mitigation action for each hazard. The following pages include four new FEMA-required actions for you to score. If an action is applicable, please score the action. If it is not applicable to your jurisdiction (i.e. your jurisdiction doesn't have any dams) please skip (don't score) the action.

Following the required new actions, you will have the space to draft new mitigation actions that are applicable to your jurisdiction and score them. Please list the applicable hazard for each new drafted action. Any new actions must correspond with one of the county's hazard priorities as follows:

Hazard	Score	Rank
Severe Wind and Tornadoes	4.21	1
Severe Winter Weather	3.91	2
Utility Failure	3.91	2
Hazardous Materials	3.53	4
Drug Misuse and Addiction	3.26	5
Severe Summer Weather	3.24	6
Extreme Temperatures	3.06	7
Flooding	3.06	7
Terrorism	2.91	9
Epidemic/Pandemic	2.79	10
Drought	2.59	11
Invasive Species	2.09	12
Wildfire	2.09	13
Dam/Levee Failure	1.71	14
Landslides, Erosion, and Subsidence	1.56	15
Earthquakes	1.50	16



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Required: Work with all jurisdictions on filling in gaps and strengthening capabilities in enacting mitigation strategies.		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Required: Ensure all eligible jurisdictions are participating in the NFIP.		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Required: Ensure all high-hazard potential dams have updated Emergency Action Plans (EAPs) in place.		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Required: Obtain or create inundation maps for all dams.		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5