

MITIGATION ACTION SCORING MATRIX

Step One:

In the following tables, enter your name and position and select one of the following status options for each mitigation action in your jurisdiction:

- Completed (Use this if the action was completed)
- **Deleted** (Use this if you would like to remove the action from your new plan)
- Ongoing (Use this if you would like the action to carry through to your next plan)

Step two:

By marking the actions as Ongoing the action will be added to the new plan. In order to rank them effectively we ask that you score each of the following:

- 1. **Cost Effective** Rank 1 5 the cost effectiveness of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most cost effective and 1 being the least cost effective.
- 2. **Technically Feasible** Rank 1 5 the feasibility of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most feasible and 1 being the least feasible.
- 3. **Environmentally Sound** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action in terms of how environmentally sound it seems, with 5 being the most sound and 1 being the least sound.
- 4. **Immediate Need** Rank 1 5 whether each proposed mitigation action is needed immediately, with 5 being the most immediate need and 1 being not an immediate need.
- 5. **Risk Reduction** Rank 1 5 the proposed mitigation action on the extent to which it will reduce the total risk of the associated hazard, with 5 being the greatest contribution to risk reduction and 1 being the least contribution to risk reduction.

If you have any additional comments for a mitigation action, please leave them below each action.



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Create a public information and education program highlighting the responsibilities residents have towards water conservation and resource use.		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Drought	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Coordinate with Subject Matter Experts (SMEs) on best practices to minimize drought impacts and to develop benchmark criteria for implementing drought-related actions.	Drought		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Recommend the planting of local plant species on public properties (xeriscaping).	Drought	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
openies on public properties (nonsoupling).		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Longoing .	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Monitor overdoses, deaths, opioid prescriptions, and drug related crimes to identify problem areas.		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Drug Misuse & Addiction	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Create a task force of local law enforcement, public health officials, mental health professionals, medical professionals, elected officials, and other appropriate personnel to identify problems and potential solutions.			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	Drug Misuse & Addiction	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		— — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Public property monitoring: Installation of		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
camera systems for use by law enforcement in parks, on trails, and on other government	Drug Misuse & Addiction	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
owned public properties to reduce crime and public drug use.	& Addiction		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



	Title and Organization:
--	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Drug Misuse & Addiction	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Regularly coordinate with public or private veterinary epidemiologists to monitor livestock- related disease in the area.	Epidemic/Pan demic		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Continue seasonal verification and promotion of heating and cooling stations in public	Extreme	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
buildings.	Temperatures		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing						
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Create a public information and education program to sensitize residents to the floodplain and the benefits of preserving these areas.		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
	Flooding		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Develop a program involving public		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
information and education to encourage donation of stream corridors and keep those areas adequately maintained free of debris.		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Longonia	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Complete a stormwater drainage study for known problem areas to identify further	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
mitigation actions.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Longonia	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



name.		Title and Organization:
-------	--	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Require a drainage study with new development within jurisdictional boundaries.		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Flooding	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Complete a Commodity Flow Study (CFS) for Clinton County.	Hazardous Materials		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Work with local universities and experts to	Invasive	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
perform a countywide Invasive Species study.	Species		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing						
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



	Title and Organization:
--	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Limit or prohibit development in identified risk areas.	Landslides,	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Erosion, and Mine	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
	Subsidence	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Acquire and demolish properties within high-risk areas.	Landslides, Erosion, and Mine Subsidence		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Collaborate with public and private sector		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
interests to obtain/create information regarding disaster preparedness, recovery	Multiple Hazards	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
assistance and other post- disaster strategies for citizens and businesses.	TIGZGTUS		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



	Title and Organization:
--	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Update the Clinton County Emergency		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
, ,	Multiple Hazards	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
each department or agency.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Coordinate between Clinton County's and the	Multiple Hazards	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
municipal building and zoning offices to encourage the adoption of updated building		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
codes.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Clinton County Fire Chief Association		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
development of standardized hazardous materials response equipment procurement,	Multiple	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
interoperable PPE, and updated MOU for fire and hazardous materials response.	Hazards		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
·		□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name: Title and Org	anization:
---------------------	------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
	<u> </u>		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
County and Municipality Grant Commission:		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
For representatives from each municipality and county to meet, share, and research grant	Multiple Hazards	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
possibilities to improve each aspect of the county in an open online meeting forum.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Coordinate with building code enforcement responsibilities to create an improved wind	Severe Summer	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
resistance requirement for buildings.	Weather	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Install lightning protection devices and		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Install lightning protection devices and methods, such as lightning rods and	Severe Summer	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
grounding, on communications infrastructure and other critical facilities.	Weather		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



	Title and Organization:
--	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Increase the number of safe rooms in the		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
and public buildings and working with local	Severe Wind & Tornadoes	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
businesses, places of worship, etc. to install additional safe rooms.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		- 9. 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Create a public information and education	Severe Winter Weather	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
campaign for information dissemination about snow emergency alerts, snow levels, and corn		□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
stick windbreaks.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		- 9. 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Discourse of the transfer in		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Discourage or prohibit the use of flat roofs in new development to reduce the chance of	Severe Winter Weather	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
roof collapse.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		99	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name: Title and Org	anization:
---------------------	------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Purv everhead newerlines that are outside of		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
flood areas to eliminate exposure to ice and	Severe Winter Weather	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
snow.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Use snow fences or "living snow fences" (rows of trees and vegetation) to control snow blow.	Severe Winter Weather	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
Use snow fences or "living snow fences" (rows of trees and vegetation) to control snow blow. Severe Winter Weather		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	Попадина	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5	
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Leverage Federal and State Subject Matter		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Experts (SMEs) to deliver presentations to community organizations/schools about Terms	Terrorism	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
terrorism and the See Something Say Something program.			Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Overton protection of the condition and		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	Terrorism	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
suspected intrusion or attack.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Online presence training for parents and children to reduce predation and prohibit	Terrorism	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5	
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Ensure that all critical facilities and public buildings have backup generators in case of	Utility Failure							
power failure.	Othicy Fandre	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:
-------	-------------------------

Risk	Status	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Utility Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
	- 9. 9	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Utility Failure	□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
	□Completed		_			-	5
Utility Failure	□Deleted	-					5
-							5
	⊔Ongoing						5
	Utility Failure Utility Failure	Utility Failure	Utility Failure	Utility Failure Cost Effective 1	Cost Effective 1 2	Utility Failure □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 Risk Reduction 1 2 3 Risk Reduction 1 2 3 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 Immediate Need 1 2 3 Risk Reduction 1 2 3 Risk Reduction 1 2 3 Cost Effective 1 2 3 Utility Failure □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 Utility Failure □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 Utility Failure □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3	Utility Failure Cost Effective 1 2 3 4 □Deleted Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 4 □Ongoing Immediate Need 1 2 3 4 Risk Reduction 1 2 3 4 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1 2 3 4 □Completed Risk Reduction 1 2 3 4 Risk Reduction 1 2 3 4 □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 Utility Failure □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 Utility Failure □Completed Technically Feasible 1 2 3 4 Utility Failure □Deleted Environmentally Sound 1



	Title and Organization:
--	-------------------------

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Internet Service: Use GIS to map all internet		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
assets within the county including, but not limited to, fiber status, roof top access, tower	Utility Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
access, right-of-way access across bridges and railroads, and available conduits.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Internet Service: Annually track the demand		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
for internet service within the County, through surveys or other means. This includes asking	Utility Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
about needed service and better service.	Wing	□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Longonia	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Internet Service: Leverage local assets to		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
create partnerships with internet providers. Examples include allowing access to public utility poles and public light fixtures. Utility Failure	Utility Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5	
		□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Status	Ranking					
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Internet Service: Research & identify grants or		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
other funding opportunities available to expand internet service throughout the	Utility Failure	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
County.		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
			Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Map wildfires as they occur in the County to identify risk areas.	Wildfire	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		□Ongoing	Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		_ — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
Perform maintenance in risk areas, including			Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
fuel management techniques such as pruning/clearing dead vegetation, selective		□Completed	Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
logging, cutting high grass, planting fire- resistant vegetation, and creating fuel/fire	Wildfire	□Deleted	Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
breaks (i.e., areas where the spread of wildfires will be slowed or stopped by the			Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
removal of fuels).		□Ongoing	Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



MITIGATION ACTION SCORING MATRIX

Instructions

To complete this matrix, please write in each mitigation action applicable to your jurisdiction. Please score it from 1-5 for each category described below. For each category, a rating of 1 is the lowest score, a rating of 3 is neutral/unsure, and a rating of 5 is the highest score.

- 1. Cost Effective Rank 1 5 the cost effectiveness of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most cost effective and 1 being the least cost effective.
- 2. **Technically Feasible Rank 1 5** the feasibility of each proposed mitigation action, with 5 being the most feasible and 1 being the least feasible.
- 3. **Environmentally Sound Rank 1 5** the proposed mitigation action in terms of how environmentally sound it seems, with 5 being the most sound and 1 being the least sound.
- 4. **Immediate Need Rank 1 5** whether each proposed mitigation action is needed immediately, with 5 being the most immediate need and 1 being not an immediate need.
- 5. **Risk Reduction Rank 1 5** the proposed mitigation action on the extent to which it will reduce the total risk of the associated hazard, with 5 being the greatest contribution to risk reduction and 1 being the least contribution to risk reduction.

Every jurisdiction (County, City, and Village) must have one mitigation action for each hazard. The following pages include four new FEMA-required actions for you to score. If an action is applicable, please score the action. If it is not applicable to your jurisdiction (i.e. your jurisdiction doesn't have any dams) please skip (don't score) the action.

Following the required new actions, you will have the space to draft new mitigation actions that are applicable to your jurisdiction and score them. Please list the applicable hazard for each new drafted action. Any new actions must correspond with one of the county's hazard priorities as follows:

Hazard	Score	Rank
Severe Wind and Tornadoes	4.21	1
Severe Winter Weather	3.91	2
Utility Failure	3.91	2
Hazardous Materials	3.53	4
Drug Misuse and Addiction	3.26	5
Severe Summer Weather	3.24	6
Extreme Temperatures	3.06	7
Flooding	3.06	7
Terrorism	2.91	9
Epidemic/Pandemic	2.79	10
Drought	2.59	11
Invasive Species	2.09	12
Wildfire	2.09	13
Dam/Levee Failure	1.71	14
Landslides, Erosion, and Subsidence	1.56	15
Earthquakes	1.50	16



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Required: Work with all jurisdictions on filling in gaps and strengthening capabilities in enacting mitigation strategies.		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Required: Ensure all eligible jurisdictions are participating in the NFIP.		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
Required: Ensure all high-hazard potential dams have updated Emergency Action Plans (EAPs) in place.		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
Required: Obtain or create inundation maps for all dams.		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5



Name:	Title and Organization:	Jurisdiction:

Mitigation Action (Strategy)	Risk	Ranking					
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5
		Cost Effective	1	2	3	4	5
		Technically Feasible	1	2	3	4	5
		Environmentally Sound	1	2	3	4	5
		Immediate Need	1	2	3	4	5
		Risk Reduction	1	2	3	4	5